08/16	/2005	15:31
-------	-------	-------

THE

## ST AVAIL

ABLE COPY		PAGE 09
Application No.	Applicant(s)	7/
09/661,589	HAYWARD, E	BLAKE EARL
Examiner	Art Unit	
Benjamin R. Bruckart	2155	
ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence	address
dered non-compliant becau	se it has failed to m	eet the following item(s) is

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appea The amendment document filed on 16 June 2005 is consider requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet, 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	5
<ul> <li>✓ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>☑ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf</a> .	e at

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mall date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment

filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

MARY EXAMINER

Part of Paper No. 20050807

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (11-04)

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No. 09/661,589

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Continuation of 4(e) Other. Applicant has changed the claims significantly without marking amendments to the claims or changing their status identifier. Claim 29 while similar is completely different from the previous supplied response filed 1-3-05. Claim 30 is dependent upon a cancelled claim and is completely changed. Claim 31 is completely different and is marked with an amendment with a claim which is not on the record. Claim 32-39 are different and the changes are unmarked with invalid status identifiers. Applicant further argues claims which are not on the record nor entered in the prosecution, ignoring the previous rejection of the claims.

